
Q1 Assess the workshop from the standpoint of what you gained from the experience: How well did the workshop meet the following stated objectives?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Total	Weighted Average
Define basic concepts of metadata	0.00%	7.14%	14.29%	35.71%	42.86%		
	0	1	2	5	6	14	4.14
Identify sources and types	0.00%	7.14%	14.29%	35.71%	42.86%		
	0	1	2	5	6	14	4.14
Gain an understanding of the different aspects of interoperability	0.00%	7.14%	21.43%	50.00%	21.43%		
	0	1	3	7	3	14	3.86
Assess how much metadata is enough	0.00%	14.29%	28.57%	35.71%	21.43%		
	0	2	4	5	3	14	3.64
Make good metadata choices at your local institution	0.00%	7.14%	21.43%	64.29%	7.14%		
·	0	1	3	9	1	14	3.71


Q2 How would you rate the:

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Total	Weighted Average
New knowledge / skills acquired	0.00%	14.29%	21.43%	35.71%	28.57%		
	0	2	3	5	4	14	3.79
Likelihood of applying concepts to your work	0.00%	0.00%	35.71%	28.57%	35.71%		
	0	0	5	4	5	14	4.00
Expectations met per advertising	7.14%	7.14%	28.57%	21.43%	35.71%		
	1	1	4	3	5	14	3.71

Q3 Rate the methods and materials relative to their value in accomplishing the workshop:



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Clarity of participant handouts	0.00%	0.00%	11.11%	44.44%	22.22%	22.22%		
	0	0	1	4	2	2	9	4.14
Content of participant handouts	0.00%	0.00%	11.11%	44.44%	22.22%	22.22%		
	0	0	1	4	2	2	9	4.14
Pre course readings	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%	88.89%		
	0	0	0	1	0	8	9	4.00
Exercises/group discussions	0.00%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%	0.00%	87.50%		
	0	0	1	0	0	7	8	3.00
Clarity of audio-visual aids	0.00%	0.00%	22.22%	44.44%	22.22%	11.11%		
	0	0	2	4	2	1	9	4.00
Content of audio-visual aids	0.00%	0.00%	22.22%	44.44%	22.22%	11.11%		
	0	0	2	4	2	1	9	4.00
How valuable was it to have the materials (including pre-reading	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	77.78%	22.22%		
assignments) available in electronic form?	0	0	0	0	7	2	9	5.00


Q4 What aspect of the workshop methods/materials was most valuable to you? Why?

#	Responses	Date
1	Having the slides available before/during the presentation. The breakdown of the different "types" of standards (conceptual models, data structures, content standards, controlled vocabularies, serializations).	9/7/2016 7:28 AM
2	Electronic Form	8/26/2016 4:03 PM
3	Content	7/29/2016 4:49 PM
4	The workshop served as a good reminder and reinforcement of some concepts I've already come across in the course of my day-to-day duties. Also, the new ideas included were well-expressed and easy-to-understand.	4/7/2016 11:01 AM
5	types of standards, considerations for implementing	2/18/2016 10:26 AM
6	I thought the description of the different types of metadata were great.	1/25/2016 3:23 PM
7	Hand outs always appreciated for later review.	1/22/2016 1:04 PM
8	Good basic overview.	1/22/2016 11:57 AM
9	The review of the different types of metadata and the benefits of metadata were helpful.	1/22/2016 9:19 AM

Q5 What aspect of the workshop methods/materials would you change? Why?

#	Responses	Date
1	A little more explanation of why institutions might choose one metadata standard over another.	9/7/2016 7:28 AM
2	Perhaps a condensed version of the handouts instead of every slide.	8/26/2016 4:03 PM
3	The information was not presented in a way that helped participants retain knowledge. The presenter rushed through some ideas that were critical to understanding metadata. She didn't provide enough background on certain topics or tie it in with real-world examples. I have been working with metadata for years and still struggled to answer the exam questions.	7/29/2016 4:49 PM
4	In some areas, the course material seemed a little redundant and repetitive. I understand that this is a means of ensuring retention of the ideas, but this also led to a loss of interest and attention, so this may be something to address in future workshops.	4/7/2016 11:01 AM
5	can't remember	2/18/2016 10:26 AM
6	Add and activity to apply what we learned in class.	1/25/2016 3:23 PM
7	More real-world examples would have been useful.	1/22/2016 1:04 PM
8	Would have been helpful to have vocabulary list.	1/22/2016 11:57 AM
9	Would like more coverage on SNAC.	1/22/2016 9:19 AM

Q6 How would you rate the instructor?



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Outstanding	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Knowledge of topic	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%	44.44%	44.44%	0.00%		
	0	1	0	4	4	0	9	4.22
Preparation	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	55.56%	44.44%	0.00%		
	0	0	0	5	4	0	9	4.44
Ability to handle questions	0.00%	0.00%	11.11%	33.33%	44.44%	11.11%		
	0	0	1	3	4	1	9	4.38
Presentation skills	0.00%	0.00%	11.11%	55.56%	33.33%	0.00%		
	0	0	1	5	3	0	9	4.22


Q7 Additional Comments for Instructor:

#	Responses	Date
1	N/A	4/7/2016 11:02 AM
2	Would be happy to attend more classes from her.	1/22/2016 9:19 AM

Q8 What other workshop topics do you need for your continuing education and where would you like to see them held? (List city and state please).

#	Responses	Date
1	Digital Preservation. Boston, MA.	9/7/2016 7:30 AM
2	Anything in Portland, Oregon, Salem, Oregon, or Corvallis, Oregon.	8/26/2016 4:03 PM
3	San Francsico Bay Area, CA; Sacramento, CA	7/29/2016 4:50 PM
4	N/A	4/7/2016 11:02 AM
5	Accessing and Ingest, Arrangement and Description. VA, OH, KY area	2/18/2016 10:29 AM
6	All the DAS workshops in Boston.	1/25/2016 3:24 PM
7	DAM implementation, Montana	1/22/2016 1:05 PM
8	Copyright issues Cincinnati, OH	1/22/2016 11:58 AM
9	Email preservation. Austin, Corpus Christi, San Antonio, TX.	1/22/2016 9:20 AM

Q9 How did find out about this workshop? Please check all that apply:




Answer Choices	Responses	
Archival Outlook	0.00%	0
In the Loop	22.22%	2
Email Blast	0.00%	0
Listserv Announcement	22.22%	2
Twitter	0.00%	0
Facebook	0.00%	0
SAA Website	77.78%	7
Postcard	0.00%	0
N/A	11.11%	1
Total Respondents: 9		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Supervisor	4/7/2016 11:02 AM

Q10 May we use your evaluation and name for testimonials?





Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	11.11% 1
No	88.89% 8
Total	9

#	Name (optional)	Date
1	Lori K. Atkins	1/22/2016 9:20 AM